Committees:	Dates:	
Corporate Projects Board - for decision	Delegated Authority	
Project Sub Committee – for decision	23 February 2021	
Markets Committee – for decision	10 March 2021	
Subject:	Gateway 2:	
Smithfield Market Car Park – Sprinkler and fire door	Project Proposal	
remedial works (CS Ref – 045/21)	Light	
Unique Project Identifier:		
PV ID: 12259		
Report of:	For Decision	
City Surveyor		
Report Author:		
Jessica Lees		
PUBLIC		

Recommendations

1. Next steps and requested decisions

Project Description: This project will carry out essential fire door and sprinkler head replacement at Smithfield Market Car Park.

Next Gateway: Gateway 3/4 - Options Appraisal (Regular)

Next Steps:

To get a detailed survey to provide options at gateway 3/4

Funding Source:

'In principle' funding of up to £150,000 was approved by Resource Allocation Sub and Policy and Resources Committees in December 2019 as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bid round to be met from City's Cash Reserves.

Requested Decisions:

- 1. That a budget of £20,000 is approved to carry out detailed surveys to reach the next Gateway.
- 2. To note the total estimated cost of the project of £120,000 (excluding risk).

- 3. To note that there is a Costed Risk of £30,000 (post-mitigation).
- 4. To note that the total estimated cost of the project of £150,000 (including risk).
- 5. To note that 'in principle' central funding from City Cash reserves was agreed as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bids.

2. Resource requirements to reach next Gateway

Item	Reason	Funds/ Source of Funding	Cost (£)
Consultant services engineer	To carry out detailed inspection and surveys	City Cash Reserves	£12,000
Fire consultant	To carry out fire compartment/ fire door survey	City Cash Reserves	£3,000
R&D asbestos survey	Survey to locate and identify all asbestos-containing materials (ACMs)	City Cash Reserves	£2,000
Other	Planning/ building control	City Cash Reserve	£1,500
Staff costs	Project management	City Cash Reserve	£1,500
Total			£20,000

3. Governance arrangements

- Markets Committee
- Senior Responsible Officer Mark Sherlock, Superintendent, Smithfield Market
- A project board is not required as this is a regular project and works are not considered complex or to impact on a high number of stakeholders

•	Project Manager to be allocated once the gateway 2 report has been approved
•	The project will be progressed by the City Surveyor's Department (CSD) in conjunction with the Department of Built Environment.

Project Summary

4. Context	 4.1 Most recent fire risk assessment for Smithfield car park has identified several fire risks where fabric and mechanical and electrical remedial actions are required to be carried out. 4.2 The car park contains several electrical vehicle charge points, which are deemed higher risk with recent guidance on installation of fast, rapid and super vehicle charging units it is essential that fire actions are addressed to ensure the safety within the car park. 4.3 The work identified is in line with the forward maintenance plan for the property. 4.4 If the work is not carried out, then the car park is at risk of closure.
5. Brief description of project	 5.1 The project will replace fire doors where required. 5.2 The project will also replace sprinkler heads to be identified within a statutory 25 year inspection . 5.3 These works are currently not covered by existing projects.
6. Consequences if project not approved	 6.1 If deferred the site will continue to be an increased risk that a fire would have significant consequences. Given the public awareness of the Grenfell Tower fire as well as the King's Dock car park fire next to the Echo Arena in Liverpool in 2017, there is a public expectation that local authorities are doing all they can to mitigate fire risk in their buildings 6.2 The current installations have been identified as actions on the car park risk assessments which links into the car parks life care plans.

	6.3 Local authorities are required to ensure their premises are fundamentally safe and fit for purpose. The review of the Echo Arena fire highlighted the implications of a fire spreading rapidly from vehicle to vehicle & floor to floor, accelerated by the failure of plastic fuel tanks. This re-emphasised the need for effective sprinkler systems, ventilation and fire management procedures to underpin an integrated risk management plan. 6.4 If Smithfield car park was closed due to not meeting the required standards identified, the City could lose a projected £752,000 per annum based upon 2021/22 budgeted income.	
7. SMART project objectives	What is the project required to achieve? Highlight a few objectives. These may be derived from your measures of success as described in your Project Briefing.	
	 This project aligns to two key themes within DBE's Business Plan, namely: Creating a welcoming seven-day City that is inclusive, safe, clean and easy to move around Improving the quality and safety of the environment for businesses, workers, residents and visitors Safe off-street facilities, whether for public parking, consolidation or other uses, remain a key element of the Transport Strategy To increase fire safety with the installation of a new fire doors and sprinkler heads Ensuring that our spaces are secure, resilient and well-maintained – Corporate Plan 2018 - 2023 	
8. Key benefits	 To reduce maintenance charges incurred To provide a compliant building and removal of risk from risk registers To provide a safe environment for staff, contractors and the general public. 	
9. Project category	1. Health and safety	
10. Project priority	A. Essential	
11. Notable exclusions	This project has remained separate from the car park fire remedial works due to shorter period works due to take place on site, separate funding envelop and the focus on the sprinkler system at Smithfield Market.	

Options Appraisal

12. Overview of options	Numbered list format Option1 – Closure of the car park due to not meeting the required standards identified and high risk to the public
	Option 2 Replacement of sprinkler heads, replace and repair fire doors where applicable
	Option 3 – Replace the car parks sprinkler set in its entirety and

replace all fire doors that require replacement

Project Planning

13. Delivery period and key dates

Overall project: Four months from start work on site/ estimated completion date October 2022.

The project briefing previously estimated a completion date of between June and August 2021, this was on the basis that the project would start on site between May – July 2020. Due to Covid-19 and realignment of priorities during this time, the start on site date is now estimated May 2022 with an estimated completion date of August 2022.

Key dates:

Gateway 2 approval	March 2021
Produce brief for the survey works	April 2021
Appoint consultants	June 2021
Undertake asbestos R&D survey	June 2021
Gateway 3 - 4 report for approval	September 2021
Finalise tender documents	October 2021
Tender project	November 2021
Tender return	December 2021

	Gateway 5 report for approval	February 2022
	Place order with contractor	March 2022
	Start work on site	May 2022
	Project completion	August 2022
	Gateway 6 outcome report for approval	February 2023
	Other works dates to coordin	ate: None
14. Risk implications	Overall project risk: Low	
	Project risk is low as at early stages of the gateway process.	
	The overall project risk may change once detailed surveys are carried out as part of the gateway 2.	
	Please note the current total costed risk (post-mitigation) for the project of £130,000 and covers items such as asbestos.	
	Further information available within the Risk Register (Appendix 2).	
	We are closely monitoring the state of these facilities to ensure the delay to the intended programme does not materially affect their safety and risk to the public.	
15. Stakeholders and consultees	15.1 Department of Built Environment (DBE) – Highways 15.2 Smithfield Market Superintendent – Mark Sherlock 15.3 DBE District Surveyors –Gordon Roy 15.4 Terence Short – Fire Officer, CSD 15.5 DBE parking contractor – SABA	

Resource Implications

16. Total estimated cost	Likely cost range (excluding risk): £100,000 - £120,000 Likely cost range (including risk): £120,000 - £150,000	
17. Funding strategy	Choose 1: All funding fully guaranteed	Choose 1: Internal - Funded wholly by City's own resource

	Funds/Sources of Funding	Cost (£)
	City's Cash Reserves*	£150,000
	Total	
	*'In principle' funding of up to £150,000 Reserves was approved by Resource Alloca and Resources Committees in December 2 2020/21 annual capital bid round.	ntion Sub and Policy
18. Investment appraisal	Annual loss of income if Smithfield were to describe (based on 2021/22 budgeted income)	close is £752,000
19. Procurement strategy/route to market	The procurement of the Consultants will be run in line with the City of London's procurement code and liaising with the City Procurement Team if necessary.	
	The works for this project proposed at the ne this time be run via the Intermediate Works a competition to all parties through City Procu	Framework as a
20. Legal implications	We have a legal duty of car to ensure that the managed and maintained from a fire risk per	
21. Corporate property implications	This project aligns with the Corporate Management Strategy 2020-2025 to ensurance assets are maintained in good, safe and condition.	re that operational
22. Traffic implications	Parking bays will need to temporarily be complant replacement above	losed off to enable
23. Sustainability and energy implications	None	
24. IS implications	None	
25. Equality Impact Assessment	An equality impact assessment will not	ot be undertaken
26. Data Protection Impact Assessment	The risk to personal data is less than applicable and a data protection impand the not be undertaken	_

Appendices

Appendix 1	Project Briefing – Fire Safety in Public Car Parks
Appendix 2	Risk Register

Contact

Report Author	Jessica Lees
Email Address	jessica.lees@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	07872114059